Microscale Physiological Events on the Human Cortical Surface
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Abstract

Despite ongoing advances in our understanding of local single-cellular and network-level activity of neuronal populations in the human brain, extraordinarily little is known about their “intermediate” microscale local circuit dynamics. Here, we utilized ultra-high-density microelectrode arrays and a rare opportunity to perform intracranial recordings across multiple cortical areas in human participants to discover three distinct classes of cortical activity that are not locked to ongoing natural brain rhythmic activity. The first included fast waveforms similar to extracellular single-unit activity. The other two types were discrete events with slower waveform dynamics and were found preferentially in upper cortical layers. These second and third types were also observed in rodents, nonhuman primates, and semi-chronic recordings from humans via laminar and Utah array microelectrodes. The rates of all three events were selectively modulated by auditory and electrical stimuli, pharmacological manipulation, and cold saline application and had small causal co-occurrences. These results suggest that the proper combination of high-resolution microelectrodes and analytic techniques can capture neuronal dynamics that lay between somatic action potentials and aggregate population activity. Understanding intermediate microscale dynamics in relation to single-cell and network dynamics may reveal important details about activity in the full cortical circuit.
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Introduction

Using neural recordings to uncover how the brain and underlying circuitry support behavior has traditionally concentrated on two ends of a spectrum detected with extracellular recordings: single-cell spiking activity or local field potentials (LFPs) arising from the summation of activity in large populations of neurons. However, other neural electrical signals, such as sodium or calcium potentials in the dendritic arbor, back-propagating action potentials, axonal action potentials, ephaptic signaling, and more, can be captured using intracellular recordings from single cells or optical imaging. Though neuroscience has investigated these other neural electrical signals, due to technical limitations, they have been difficult to study in vivo with large-scale circuit analysis, particularly in humans. While significant advances in imaging (Abdelfattah et al. 2019; Yildirim et al. 2019) and microelectrode array technology have made exploring neural activity at the microscale level increasingly accessible (Jia et al. 2019), activity types beyond single-cell extracellular spikes and LFP oscillations have not been studied in vivo in humans.

We took advantage of newly developed high-density poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) microelectrodes to detect microscale neural activity from large areas of the cortical surface in humans, rodents, and nonhuman primates (Wilks et al. 2011; Sesso et al. 2013; Khodagholy et al. 2015, 2016, 2017; Cellot et al. 2016; Hermiz et al. 2020). PEDOT:PSS microelectrodes represent a next stage of the brain without penetrating the pial surface, covering large areas of the cortex (Wilks et al. 2011; Sesso et al. 2013; Khodagholy et al. 2015, 2016, 2017; Cellot et al. 2016; Hermiz et al. 2020). These arrays can be fabricated easily, conform to the surface better than other surface array types (e.g., silastic), and can be customized for a wide range of configurations (Wilks et al. 2011; Sesso et al. 2013; Khodagholy et al. 2015, 2016, 2017; Cellot et al. 2016; Hermiz et al. 2020). Their properties and recordings can be compared with other microelectrode systems used in patients including laminar arrays of microelectrodes (Ulbert, Halgren, et al. 2001a; Ulbert, Karmos, et al. 2001b; Ulbert et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2005; Fabó et al. 2008; Cash et al. 2009; Ulbert et al. 2010; Hermiz et al. 2018, 2019). Further microelectrode recordings can be done using 2D arrays of penetrating microelectrodes (the Utah array, Nordhausen et al. 1994; Hochberg et al. 2006; Schevon et al. 2008, 2010; Truccolo et al. 2008; Waziri et al. 2009; Keller et al. 2010). Importantly, specialized recording (including novel microelectrodes) and analytic approaches have been used to explore axonal action potentials (Robbins et al. 2013; Stuart and Spruston 2015; Jun et al. 2017), dendritic calcium spikes (Ross 2015; Suzuki and Larkum 2017; Golding et al. 2018), and backpropagating action potentials (Moore et al. 2017; Jia et al. 2019) in rodents. However, these events have not yet been systematically studied across multiple species, including humans, under multiple conditions.

We employed the high-density capabilities of PEDOT:PSS arrays and other microelectrode systems to detect and examine microscale cortical activity beyond action potentials and LFP oscillations in humans, rodents, and a nonhuman primate. More specifically, we used PEDOT:PSS microelectrodes to record patients undergoing craniotomies for surgical resection of tumor or epilepsy. We also analyzed recordings from semi-chronically placed laminar microelectrodes and Utah arrays. Our results included both LFP dynamics (typical of pial recordings with metal contacts; Kaiju et al. 2017) and high-frequency events similar to the single-unit activity seen in intraparenchymal recordings with penetrating metal electrodes, which we label Type 1 events (Khodagholy et al. 2015, 2016). Remarkably, we noted two other classes of morphologically, spatially, and temporally distinct events with features between single-unit activity and slower oscillatory events; we call these Type 2 and...
Type 3 events. To demonstrate these events are not artifacts, we examined their presence and characteristics across multiple microelectrode types, species, and experimental conditions, and we concluded that these events physiologically originate in brain activity and are distinct from ongoing spontaneous oscillations.

Materials and Methods

We characterized neural activity as recorded with surface high-density poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) microelectrodes in four different centers; across 37 patients, in four mice, and a nonhuman primate (NHP); and with two different recording systems. We also examined neural activity recorded via Utah array microelectrodes and laminar microelectrodes and via single-channel sharp electrodes recorded during deep brain stimulator (DBS) surgery (Patel et al. 2013; Jamali et al. 2019). Here, we first describe PEDOT:PSS electrode manufacturing and configurations, followed by acute electrode placement procedures for the operating room (OR). We then explain implantation and recording from the laminar and Utah array semi-chronic implants in humans as well as procedures for defining the mouse and NHP recordings. We also include details on the different tests we performed in the OR. Finally, we recount data analysis methodologies.

PEDOT:PSS Electrode Device Fabrication

The PEDOT:PSS device was fabricated similarly to previously established protocols with slight modifications as noted below (Uguz et al. 2016; Ganji, Atsunori, et al. 2017a; Ganji, Kaestner, et al. 2017b). Si wafers in batches of six were used as substrate carriers to deposit parylene C layers. Following substrate cleaning, the diluted Micro-90 (0.1%)—an antiadhesion layer—was spun-cast at 1300 rpm on the substrate and an NR9-6000PY negative resist was used for metal electrode definition on the parylene C layers. O₂ plasma (Plasma Etch PE100) was applied for 2 min (150 W RF power, 5 sccm O₂) as a descum step to remove residual photoresist in patterned regions prior to the evaporation of a 15-nm Cr adhesion layer and a 100-nm Au contact layer on top of the 4-μm-thick parylene C layer (epidural mouse recording) or 10-μm-thick parylene C layer (subdural recordings for mouse, NHP, and humans). After lift-off, an O₂ plasma (Plasma Etch PE100) was applied for 2 min (150 W RF power, 5 sccm O₂) to activate the parylene C surface to enhance the adhesion of the subsequent 2-μm-thick encapsulating parylene C layer. Another higher concentrated Micro-90 (1% as opposed to 0.1% for the first layer) layer was spun-cast and a third parylene C layer (~1.9–2.5 μm) was deposited as a sacrificial layer. To define the patterns on electrode sites, a 10-μm-thick 2010 SU-8 photoresist layer was exposed and developed using Karl Suss MA6 mask aligner and SU-8 developer. Prior to PEDOT:PSS deposition, O₂ plasma (Oxford Plasmalab 80, 200 W RF power, 32 min) was used to etch the openings in the third and second parylene C layers all the way to the contact sites. Additionally, a hole at the center of the circular array was etched through all parylene C layers. The remainder of the fabrication process was essentially as previously reported (Rivnay et al. 2016; Uguz et al. 2016; Ganji, Kaestner, et al. 2017b), though we have several recommendations to improve quality control and yield successful recordings. First, we observed that using thicker (14 μm) parylene C layers, noted above for subdural recording in mice, NHPs, and humans, resulted in additional mechanical stability that improved device yield throughout postfabrication evaluation, packaging, shipping, sterilization, and use in the OR. Second, we used graded widths of parylene C from the connector region to the microcontact region to distribute stresses more evenly and avoid 90° cuts or patterns in parylene C, which can nucleate cracks and tears under stress. Third, we found that incorporating perfusion holes through the grid can improve conformal contact between the grid and the brain surface, which in turn resulted in higher fidelity recordings. At last, we noted that functional channels on the brain surface had higher yield when the operating neurosurgeon had more experience using the device. Carrying out mock-placement experiments using these and other similar grids might help raise the success rate and yield of the OR recordings.

We used four different PEDOT:PSS electrode designs. One electrode type involved two columns of 64-channel 20-μm diameter contact sites with 50-μm center-to-center pitch between adjacent contacts, which we call the 50-μm pitch 2-column grid (N = 25; Fig. 1; Supplementary Figs 1, 2, and 4). A second array had the same material make-up but the center-to-center pitch was 800 μm; we call this the 800-μm pitch 2-column grid (N = 1; Supplementary Figs 3, 5). A third array was a 128-channel grid with concentric rings at varying distances between each electrode site, which we call the circular grid (~4 mm in diameter (N = 11; Supplementary Figs 3, 5). One array, used while recording over the mouse barrel cortex, was a square grid of 32 channels.

Ethics Statement

All patients voluntarily participated after fully informed consent according to NIH guidelines and as monitored by the Partners (currently Massachusetts General Brigham) Institutional Review Board (IRB), which provides coverage for Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH), Brigham and Women’s Hospital (BWH), and Boston Children’s Hospital; by the UC San Diego Health IRB, which provides coverage for the University of California San Diego (UCSD), the New York University Medical Center IRB for New York University Medical Center, Committee on Clinical Investigations of the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center for Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, the Hungarian Medical Scientific Council, and the Oregon Health Sciences University IRB for the Oregon Health Sciences University (OHSU). Participants, whether being recorded in the OR or with semi-chronic electrodes in the epilepsy monitoring unit, were informed that participation in the experiment would not alter their clinical treatment in any way and that they could withdraw at any time without jeopardizing their clinical care.

Human Participant Recordings in the OR

Recordings of humans in the OR were acquired from 37 participants (mean = 39.7 years old, ranging from 22 to 62; 18 women; all but 7 individuals right-handed Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) who were scheduled for surgical resection of cortical tissue, as a result of tumor or epilepsy, at MGH, BWH, UCSD, or OHSU. Patients were already scheduled for a craniotomy for concurrent clinical intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring; testing to map motor, language, and sensory regions; or tissue removal. In some cases (N = 9), participants received pro-convulsant medications (methohexital or alfentanil) intravenously while undergoing clinical mapping of the putative seizure focus as well as recording with the clinical electrodes. This choice was made...
Figure 1. PEDOT:PSS recording setup, fast events, and spectrum. (A) PEDOT:PSS 2-column 128-channel grid electrode layout (two rows of 64 channels separated by 50 μm) and dimensions. (B) Example of relative positioning. The larger orange circles and squares on the electrode array indicate large PEDOT contacts to orient the small electrode contacts (the 2-column grid, white arrowhead) between the two large squares. The adjacent clinical electrode is seen in blue (with blue arrowhead). The inset square is a zoomed-in view of the PEDOT:PSS electrode. (C) Photograph of microelectrode (white arrowhead) and clinical array (blue arrowhead) on the human cortical surface alongside reference electrodes (white and purple wires). (D) Example broadband recordings, from three participants (IP03, IP15, and IP18), of clinical leads (blue lines) and the PEDOT:PSS grid (black lines, bottom), with unitary events (red arrowheads, grid middle, and right traces). The geometry of the PEDOT:PSS grid in all three recordings was a 128-channel 2-column grid extending 3150 μm × 50 μm with a 50-μm pitch between sites. The time scale traces in D and E are the same. (E) Normalized power spectrograms of the simultaneous individual clinical and PEDOT:PSS recordings and one same-channel trace shown in D. Normalization was done by dividing each time step in power per frequency band by the mean power across all time windows per frequency band. Each LFP column traces in D match the spectrograms shown in E. (F) Individual average power spectra curves for PEDOT:PSS (black) and clinical (blue) channels for IP03, IP15, and IP18. Inset: Average values across participants (N = 14). Green boxes in D and E highlight similar dynamics between the clinical (top row in D and E separately) and PEDOT:PSS recordings (bottom row in D and E separately). Shaded regions indicate SEM.
by the clinical team. For another subset of cases (N = 8), cold saline was applied to either clinically reduce tissue overactivity or determine how a change in temperature alters underlying activity. Finally, repetitive sounds including real words, nonsense syllables that were not English words, and noise-vocoded white noise convolutions of real words (1 s between each sound) (Travis et al. 2013; Hermiz et al. 2018; Kaestner 2018) were played back to some participants (N = 11) via either a speaker in the OR (N = 4) or headphones worn by the participant (N = 7) using Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems) to determine auditory response.

Following surgery, the preoperative T1-weighted MRI was reconstructed using FreeSurfer scripts (Reuter et al. 2010, 2012; Dykstra et al. 2012) (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu). Using blender software (https://www.blender.org/) and MMVT, the reconstructions were then aligned to images obtained during surgery (Holmes et al. 1998; Dykstra et al. 2012; Felsenstein and Peled 2017; Peled et al. 2017). The method involved projecting the surgical image onto the patient’s reconstructed brain using blender and then placing a 3D model of the PEDOT:PSS electrode array on that location, similar to other coregistration approaches (Holmes et al. 1998; Postelnicu et al. 2009; Dykstra et al. 2012) (Fig. 1; Supplementary Figs 1–3).

In addition to the participants mentioned above, we examined data from two additional patients who underwent intraoperative neurophysiology as part of their planned DBS placement (Patel et al. 2013; Jamali et al. 2019). These types of recordings are detailed in previous studies (Patel et al. 2013; Jamali et al. 2019). As with the PEDOT:PSS recordings, patients were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any point without altering their clinical care. Because patients receiving DBS implantations at MGH normally also undergo standardized microelectrode recording to optimize anatomical targeting (Amirnovin et al. 2006; Patel et al. 2013), microelectrodes can traverse the dorsal lateral surface of the prefrontal cortex on the way to the target nucleus, offering a brief chance to study neuronal dynamics in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dIPFC).

Importantly, these recordings neither perturb the planned operative approach nor alter clinical care (Sheth et al. 2012; Patel et al. 2013; Mian et al. 2014). The three microelectrodes (500–1500 kΩ; Alpha Omega Engineering) were moved simultaneously at 10–20 mm increments to identify and isolate individual units in the cortex. Once unit-like spikes were observed in the recording, the micro-electrodes were maintained in position for 4–5 min to confirm signal stability. The signal was sampled at 44 kHz, then decimated to 1000 Hz. The same analyses as described below for keep the microelectrodes in place. In addition, the clinical grid further secures them to prevent movement. The electrodes were implanted for a period of several days to 2 weeks. We analyzed recordings from periods of quiet wakefulness separated by at least an hour from seizure activity.

Nine patients (mean = 23.37 years old, ranging from 12 to 42; 4 women) received laminar electrodes. Laminar microelectrodes were implanted perpendicular to the cortex in noneloquent tissue that was ultimately resected, has been reported on previously (Ulbert, Halgren, et al. 2001a), and used in a number of studies (Ulbert, Karmos, et al. 2001b; Ulbert et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2005; Fabó et al. 2008; Cash et al. 2009; Keller et al. 2010; Halgren et al. 2018, 2019). Each laminar probe spanned the cortical depth with a length of 3.5 mm and a diameter of 0.35 mm. The laminar probes are 3.6-mm-long, 350-μm-wide tubular shafts made of polyimide which is flexible but sufficiently stiff to penetrate the cortex without additional mechanical support. A silastic “cap” resting on the pial surface keeps the laminar arrays in place and helps maintain probe position. Each array is a single laminar column containing 24 electrodes with diameters of 40 μm and a center-to-center pitch of 175 μm. Neural data were recorded and stored continuously at a rate of 2 kHz in the EEG range simultaneously with multiunit activity (MUA) range activity sampled at 20 kHz. Please see prior publications for methodological details.

Another eight patients (mean = 32 years old, ranging from 21 to 47; 2 women) were implanted with Utah array (also called NeuroPort array) electrodes (Blackrock Microsystems, which have been used in several previous studies (Hochberg et al. 2006; Truccolo et al. 2008; Waziri et al. 2009; Schevon et al. 2010). The 4 mm × 4 mm microelectrode array is composed of 100 platinum-tipped silicon probes at two different depths. In four cases, the electrodes penetrated 1.0 mm into the cortex, 1.5 mm in the other four guidelines.

### Semi-Chronic Human Participant Recordings in the Epilepsy Monitoring Unit

Patients with pharmacologically resistant epilepsy who were undergoing surgery to locate and resect seizure foci received laminar and Utah array electrode implantation (Supplementary Table 3). All decisions concerning placement were made with clinical input, particularly since both the Utah arrays and laminar microelectrodes were inserted into cortex likely to be resected. Laminar recordings were made in the United States of America and Hungary, while the Utah array recordings were performed in the United States of America. Both array types are placed in the cortex and are generally under a grid of clinical macroelectrodes. Insertion into the pial surface and pressure from the brain relative to the grid or dura over the brain helped

### NHP Surgical Details and Recording Methods

Intraoperative, intracranial neurophysiology recordings were acquired from one adult male rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta, age 14; Supplementary Table 4) according to the Guide to the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All efforts were made to minimize discomfort, and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Massachusetts General Hospital monitored care and approved all procedures. The macaque was placed under general endotracheal anesthesia (isoflurane) and placed into a stereotactic frame (Kopf). A craniotomy over the visual cortex was performed using standard anatomical landmarks, and cortex was carefully exposed. Using gyral anatomy and vasculature over V1 versus V4, the V4 area was identified visually and a PEDOT:PSS electrode was placed over the region. Signals were recorded using the ORH128 Intan Recording System (Hermiz et al. 2016, 2018). Data were acquired at 20 kHz and filtered by the default Intan setting, with cutoffs 1–7.5 kHz, and using OpenEphys acquisition graphic-user interface software (Siegel et al. 2017) (http://www.open-ephys.org/). Electrode impedance testing during the experiments employed the Intan RHD2000 software from Intan Technologies. Data were extracted and processed using MATLAB (Mathworks).

### Area V1 Mouse Surgical Details and Recording Methods

The care and use of mice (2–6 months old; C57BL/6J; Jackson Laboratory; Supplementary Table 4) followed all federal and institutional guidelines, and the Institutional Animal Care and Use...
Data Acquisition: Acute Intraoperative Recordings

Most human intracranial recordings, taken in the OR from the PEDOT:PSS electrodes, were made using a custom Intan recording system with a sampling rate of either 20 or 30 kHz (filtered from 1 to 7500 Hz to reduce aliasing; Intan Corporation). During acquisition, recordings were referenced to sterile ground and recording reference needle electrodes (Medtronic) placed in nearby muscle tissue or scalp, as deemed safe by the neurosurgeon. After the electrode array was laid on tissue and engaged (as checked via simultaneously recorded video), and event detections were taken at baseline and during nonstimulated periods of time. Neural signals were recorded using the ORH128 Intan Recording System, acquiring data at 30 kHz, and filtered by the default Intan setting (cutoffs 1–7.5 kHz). Data were extracted and processed using MATLAB.

Electrical Stimulation

Electrical stimulation occurred in N = 11 cases (Supplementary Table 1). For most of these, neural stimulation had clinical purposes, such as evaluating motor or language mapping (Mueller and Morris 1993; Borchers et al. 2012). Stimulation used either a Prass Standard Monopolar Stimulating Probe (motor mapping cases), Disposable Double Ball Tip Nerve Stimulating Probe (speech mapping cases), or the Nicolet Cortical Stimulator for Neurosurgery (Natus Neurology Inc.). For clinical stimulation mapping (N = 9), trains (at 60 Hz) or pulses of variable length (ranging from 0.1 to 1 s) and amplitude (ranging from 1 to 10 mA) were delivered via a hand-held bipolar wand at different locations personalized to surgical flow. Research stimulation (N = 2)
Intraoperative Video Monitoring

With the patient’s informed consent, surgeries were video recorded by a camera set in the OR light (Black Diamond Video). We approximated video recording timing by annotating when the electrode was placed on and removed from the brain, actions that could also be seen in the recordings. We also noted the timing and location of stimulation via bipolar contacts or PEDOT:PSS electrode movements to confirm the timing of noisy signals relative to additional experimental events. Additionally, photographs were taken to illustrate electrode location (Fig. 1).

Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using custom MATLAB programs. Cross-correlation between resampled analog trigger signals was used to align the triggers between multiple systems, both between the neural data and between the audio recordings. Since the recordings were brief (4–20 min) and electrodes could be moved at any point, we used the video and audio recordings as well as the voltage recordings to determine stable recording periods.

“Raw” voltage signals were taken directly from the Intan recordings. LFP data were decimated to 1000 Hz and demeaned relative to the entire recording. MUA or spike-related activity were detected by high-pass filtering the signal above 250 Hz. Channels with excessive line noise, high impedances (≥100 kΩ), or fluctuations across the voltage range were removed from the analysis. We also noted that, since these OR recordings are necessarily transient, over time some channels became noisier than others during the recordings. For this reason, we conducted root mean square (RMS) calculations of electrodes for the “quiet” periods when the electrode was not being moved and there were no visible inter-ictal discharges (IIDs) or stimulation (Supplementary Fig. 6). We found that, by and large, the recordings in channels that had within-range impedances also had low RMS values (around or below an RMS of 5). However, a subset of channels had high RMS values (closer to an RMS of 20–50); we excluded these from further analyses. These channels tended to have recordings that were unstable over time due to fluctuations in voltage and the presence of 60-Hz noise. After excluding the recordings from one participant, 48.5 ± 23.26% of the electrode contacts (channels) survived channel rejection criteria across patients (Supplementary Table 2; Supplementary Fig. 6; see Materials and Methods). As we progressed in cases and gained experience, the percentage of good electrode contacts improved, reaching closer to 80%. We also found that channels, which survived the rejection criteria, varied considerably in terms of location across the grids (Supplementary Figs 4 and 5) and that these productive locations did not correspond to amplifier bank or physical connectivity (e.g., particular cables or connectors).

Type 1 Sorting

We first sorted Type 1 waveforms from the data that were high-pass filtered above 250 Hz using a Butterworth filter via Offline Sorter (v4, Plexon, Inc.). We identified unit waveforms as activity within the range of 15–500 μV and with a duration between 500 and 1500 μs. However, the pitch and high density of the electrodes generated too many “units” since the putative units spanned multiple channels in the recording. For this reason, we used the newest Kilosort2 (Pachitariu et al. 2016), which omits spike detection and PCA and instead combines template waveforms, associated spike locations, and spike times into one model to arrive at potential units. The mean waveforms for these “neurons” are swept repeatedly through the voltage data as a template, and the newly detected spikes that match these templates are subtracted away, leaving behind the residual data which can be iterated through again. The software also considers electrode spatial arrangement, allowing sorting on high-density electrodes such as Neuropixel (Jun et al. 2017; Jia et al. 2019). As such, we included a specific channel map for each array. These data were processed with default Kilosort2 parameters for configuration (code located at https://github.com/Center-For-Neurotechnology/LFP-Type2-and-Type3-Detection) except that the acceptable firing rate for a unit was allowed to go below 0.1 Hz. This expansion increased sensitivity to units that might fire very infrequently over the short recording period. Additionally, our threshold for Kilosort spike detection was (as a default) –6 standard deviations (SD).

Before processing, we only applied Kilosort2 to data with no movement or stimulation artifact, and we removed noisy channels. Putative event clusters (equivalent to single units) were rejected if the captured waveforms seemed to be artifacts (as with stimulation) or if the units had highly regular or too short interevent intervals. Rejecting events with inter-event intervals (IEIs) that either corresponded with 60 Hz noise or occurred at the same time as the stimulation, we identified the fast waveforms in the raw and original high-pass filtered data to confirm their timing and channel location. Because the event count was low, we attempted to merge clusters based on spatial mapping of the recordings. However, merging clusters produced significantly more violations of the 2-5 ms minimum refractory period, meaning that the merged clusters were more likely to be MUA, thereby indicating that these events must be separated to more similarly represent single-unit activity. Therefore, we did not merge clusters, nor did we autocorrelate event times to make any decisions to merge clusters. This approach aligns with preliminary findings about the similarity between manual and automatic spike sorting (Steinmetz et al. 2020). In other words, results produced by manual sorting, which often involves more per-analyst variability, are similar to those generated by Kilosort; the only difference is that Kilosort2 can be more automatic and possibly more replicable across instances using the same configuration file. Of note, we could not easily use signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) as a method to test cluster quality, as SNR usually assumes event detection on one or a few channels. In contrast, we use Kilosort2 to identify event clusters with high spatial resolution across 7–10 channels on the PEDOT:PSS grid where spatial and waveform characteristics define each cluster across the spatial map. This approach can lead to large waveforms on some channels and no waveforms on others (Fig. 2). Therefore, SNR, and SNR variance, may not be as informative as other recording approaches.

Type 2 and 3 Event Detection and Template Matching

Since other “events” involved both short (Type 1) and long (traveling LFP wave) timescales and varied in size and direction of deflection (due to changes in voltage polarity across electrodes), we performed a first-pass visualization to detect and annotate...
Figure 2. Fast Type 1 events recorded from the surface of the human cortex. (A, i–iii) Example recording from participant IP37. In ii, the events in colored boxes from i are shown at higher resolution. (B, C) Example fast waveforms from the same participant, mapped to the circular grid locations across the PEDOT:PSS microelectrode array. The waveforms are color-coded to correspond to different clusters as determined in Kilosort using waveforms’ spatial locations on the PEDOT:PSS grids combined with spike times in a model (see Materials and Methods). The gray dots indicate good channels with low impedance on the circular PEDOT:PSS array. (D–F) Example recordings from the same clusters as in B and C shown as a raster plot across the microelectrode grid, as IEIs (E), and as auto-correlograms (F) for a subset of clusters with different time scales at ±0.2 s (left plot) and ±0.01 s (right plot). (G, H) Comparisons of half-peak widths versus event frequencies (spike rate; H) and half-peak widths versus trough-to-peak amplitudes (G) of the sorted waveforms across all participants. N = 24. Error bars indicate SEM.
electrode movement, stimulation, and the presence of IIDs. For this process, we used Wonambi, a visualization tool originally used in slow scoring (https://wonambi-python.github.io/). We rejected any time periods when the electrodes were being moved in the recording. For these analyses, we identified IIDs as events that were ~250-ms duration and similar to previously published IID waveforms (Curtis et al. 2012; Janca et al. 2015) but did not include those IID waveforms in the subsequent event classification analyses since we considered those events outside the scope of the current study.

Next, we detected peaks larger than 25 μV and took 400-ms snippets of data around each event (~100 to 300 ms) per channel. We then realigned events relative to the largest rising or falling edge (for easier comparisons across waveforms). After examining all waveforms both singly and using PCA (Supplementary Fig. 8), we found that we could further remove noise through template matching to the latter two of three main waveform types: 1) Type 1: fast repeated waveform events, detected between 250 and 6000 Hz; 2) Type 2: peaks with sharp rise and slow fall, ~10–30 ms absolute half-peak duration, detected below 500 Hz; or 3) Type 3: peaks with slow rise and slow fall, ~100 ms absolute half-peak duration, detected below 500 Hz. Templates were constructed from average identified waveforms across the dataset, based on the event rates and most common waveforms identified through PCA, which included the Type 2 and 3 events (Supplementary Fig. 9). Since we were template matching the LFP, not the raw data, we would not be able to detect Type 1 events using this approach. The template matching was done by correlating each detected waveform with the template waveforms and only including waveforms and time points with correlations to Type 2 or Type 3 events above 0.8. These detections were used to exclusively bin each event into one of these two types.

One possible explanation for these events is that they result from electrical noise or some kind of mechanical artifact. To address this, we examined saline recordings taken in the OR using the same electrodes for several minutes before and after recording from the brain in N = 6 cases (Supplementary Figs 10–12). In two cases, we moved the saline bowl in an attempt to reproduce the waveforms observed in the neural recordings. First, the Type 1 events observed in the neural recordings were not present in any of the saline recordings (data not shown). We either never or very rarely detected Type 2 events in any of the saline recordings, with or without movement (Supplementary Figs 10–12). When we moved the electrode in the saline, waveforms similar to the Type 3 events could be identified in the saline recordings, although these were rare compared with findings in the neural recordings. Furthermore, the waveforms were visibly slower to rise and fall, resulting in a lower second derivative of the onset time (Supplementary Fig. 10) without the sharp initial rise of Type 3 waveforms observed in the neural recordings, meaning that brain-recorded Type 3 waveforms had higher second derivatives around the waveform onset (Supplementary Figs 10–12). After examining the saline recording detections and finding overlap between waveform types in the brain and saline recordings, we added, as another detection step, thresholding based on the second derivative at ±50 ms around the waveform onset (Supplementary Figs 10–12). Finally, to remove the possibility of including ongoing oscillatory waveforms, we added a final, fourth step to retain only waveforms with average absolute voltages less than 25 μV in the preceding 100 ms before event onset.

Even after these added waveform onset thresholding steps, most Type 2 and 3 events recorded on the brain surface survived the thresholding per participant (Supplementary Fig. 10). We found that both event types happened significantly more frequently on brain tissue than in saline (P = 0.0038; Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparison test; Supplementary Fig. 12), with sum totals of 59 Type 2 events and 854 Type 3 events across nine saline recordings over 29 min versus 3553 Type 2 events and 33608 Type 3 events on brain tissue using the same electrodes over the same amount of time. Additionally, using our spatial extent detection window over a total period of 29 min, we found that 90% of events in saline spanned a single channel, with only six Type 2 events and 77 Type 3 events spanning multiple channels (Supplementary Fig. 12). The Type 2 and 3 LFP events were then mapped to the recordings by time to determine if their rates, sizes, and temporal dynamics vary with the introduction of auditory stimuli, proconvulsant medication, cold saline, or electrical stimulation. We quantified these categories’ rates in time as IEIs and the absolute amplitudes of the voltage deflections from baseline. We examined the rise and fall times relative to the peaks by quantifying the RC time constants τ (rise time) and τ (fall time) as in the following equation: ΔV = (Vfinal − Vstart) * (1 – 1/e (time/τ)), where τ (rise time) was calculated as the time required for the voltage to reach 0.63 of the maximum peak and τ (fall time) was calculated as the time required for the voltage to reach 0.37 of the fall back to baseline.

Additional measures included evaluating events’ temporal and spatial spread in a recording with identifying events that were concurrent in time, that is, within 5 ms of each other, across channels and calculating their spatial spread based on the electrode layout. Peri-stimulus time histograms (PSTHs) binned activity in time around specific treatments, such as proconvulsant medications, cold saline, auditory stimulation, or electrical stimulation. The bins were one at 100 ms or at other time points depending on the treatment. PSTHs and IEI counts were normalized relative to baseline by dividing each bin by the average bins during the baseline recordings before averaging PSTHs across participants. Cross-covariance measures were calculated by converting the timing of the Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3 events in into 1-ms bins throughout the recording (at a sample rate of 1000 Hz) and then performing a cross-covariance between the event types. Autocorrelograms used a leave-out method that involved cross-correlating the timestamp of each treatment in a recording with all other events in that Type 1 group or per channel for the Type 2 and 3 events. This resulted in a lack of a peak at time = 0 with rhythmicity patterns emerging if there are periodic elements.

**Spectral Analyses**

Power spectral analyses to compare the simultaneous clinical and PEDOT:PSS recordings were performed using 5-min “quiet” periods (without movement, stimulation, or tasks and before application of medication or cold saline), taking the real value of the Morlet wavelet coefficient (power) at a 1-Hz spectral resolution using a moving window of 0.5 s moving every 10 ms, calculated using the Fieldtrip toolbox (www.ru.nl/fcdonders/fieldtrip). This approach allowed us to observe dynamics through time and as an average.

Event-triggered spectral analyses included the 30 s around each event (Type 1, 2, and 3) sampled at 1000 Hz and recalculated power with the real value of the Morlet wavelet coefficient.
We used KiloSort (https://github.com/cortex-lab/KiloSort) to process the data. We then used the CircStats toolbox (Berens 2009). Because we performed these calculations on a per-channel basis and then per-case basis, we averaged the power per the following frequency bands: 0–4, 4–8, 8–15, 15–30, 30–55, and 65–200 Hz.

As the Type 2 and 3 events had sharp onsets and broadband effects on power, we clipped out 200 ms around each actual event. We then used two different spectral calculations to determine if the Type 2 and 3 events were part of an ongoing oscillation. One analysis involved a series of steps: 1) calculating spectrograms 10 s before and after Type 2 and 3 events (not including ±200 ms around each event); 2) performing the same calculation but adding or subtracting a time in the range of ±10 s (jittered time-locked power calculation) rather than centering around a Type 2 or 3 event; 3) evaluating the difference between the two spectrograms on a per-channel basis and averaging per patient; and 4) determining if that difference varies significantly from zero across the dataset.

To measure the phase relationships between the same-channel LFP and Type 2 and 3 event onsets, we filtered the signal to each frequency band with eegfilt (EEGLab package; Delorme and Makeig 2004) and then calculated phase per frequency band (Hilbert transform) and averaged those phase values using the CircStats toolbox (Berens 2009). Because we performed these calculations on a per-channel and then per-case basis, we averaged phase values when the number of events per recording was greater than five, as phase is highly susceptible to low event counts.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical comparisons were performed using nonparametric measures, as we did not test for normality. We tested comparisons with the Kruskal–Wallis test for nonequivalence of multiple medians followed by the post hoc Tukey–Kramer method to determine statistically separable groups. For all comparisons, we first calculated the mean values per type of neural activity on the per-participant level and then compared the medians between conditions. Activity measurements were separated into baseline, during pharmacological manipulation, during stimulation (auditory or electrical), or during physiological manipulation. These divisions resulted in measurements taken from distinct samples for the manipulations aside from baseline activity, a period of time that was measured and compared multiply when needed in order to identify changes in activity. We used the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (two-sided) for comparisons between individual medians. We tested if values were significantly different from zero using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. We corrected by adjusting the target P-value (0.05) with a Bonferroni correction for the number of comparisons being done. For phase relationships, we performed the Rayleigh test for unipolar distributions (Berens 2009).

Data and Code Availability
Open source acquisition software OpenEphys (http://www.openephys.org/) and open source waveform sorting software Kilosort (https://github.com/cortex-lab/KiloSort) were used to record and analyze the neural data. Custom Matlab code (version R2019a), combined with open source code from the Fieldtrip toolbox (http://www.fieldtriptoolbox.org/), was used for the majority of the analyses and is available upon request.

Custom Matlab code for detecting Type 2 and 3 events is available on Github (https://github.com/Center-For-Neurotechnology/LFP-Type2-and-Type3-Detection). The code requires 1000-Hz neural data in microvolts as input and can be used to detect Type 2 and 3 events across recordings. Sample data are provided along with plotting examples showing the resulting detections. Further data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Results
PEDOT:PSS Electrodes Record Neural Activity on the Surface of the Human Brain
We recorded neural activity on the cortical surface using PEDOT:PSS microelectrodes and clinical surface electrodes in patients undergoing craniotomy for either tumor removal (N = 15) or seizure focus resection for intractable epilepsy (N = 22). PEDOT:PSS electrodes are 30 μm in diameter and have a center-to-center pitch between 50 and 800 μm. We used four different electrode designs (including circular, 2-column, and square grid designs) to cover areas ranging from 0.1575 to 40 mm² (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 1A–C; Supplementary Figs 1–5). These different designs afforded different coverage over the cortex (Supplemental Figs 1–5). Fabricated on parylene C (5–14 μm thickness) into either 32- or 128-channel arrays (Supplementary Figs 2 and 3), electrodes were placed directly on and conformed to the pial surface of the exposed cortex. Recordings ranged from 4 to 25 min. With one participant (Intraoperative Participant 14, or IP14), the recordings were unstable due to electrode movement throughout the session and were therefore excluded from subsequent analyses. Once the electrodes were placed on the brain, we tested their impedance values. For electrodes and contacts that passed our criteria (which included within-range impedances and recording stability; see Materials and Methods, Supplementary Figs 4–6), average impedance values were 44.5 ± 26.0 (mean ± SD) kOhm.

We found the same widespread “normal” neural dynamics and spectral content in both the PEDOT:PSS recordings and the concurrent clinical electrode (3-mm-diameter platinum contact grids) recordings, which were aligned in voltage and spectral frequency dynamics (Fig. 1C–E). Recognizable events such as epileptiform IIDs occurred at the same time on the microelectrodes and clinical contacts, with similar spectral dynamics observed in the simultaneously analyzed spectrograms (Fig. 1D,E; note the green boxes; N = 14). To determine if the oscillations captured by the PEDOT:PSS electrodes were similar to those recorded by the clinical contacts, we calculated power spectral density for both recording modalities from 1 to 200 Hz for the same time windows in the aligned recordings (Fig. 1F; N = 14). Averaged power spectra through spontaneous activity recordings were not significantly different between the clinical contacts and the PEDOT:PSS recordings (P > 0.07 for comparisons at each frequency step; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; N = 14). We observed concurrent oscillations in both the PEDOT:PSS recordings and the clinical recordings. However, the PEDOT:PSS recordings showed notably localized stand-alone events which were not detected by the clinical contacts (red arrowheads in Fig. 1D; Supplementary Video 1).
PEDOT:PSS Electrodes Record Putative Spiking Activity from the Surface of the Human Brain

We detected a series of different “fast” waveforms in PEDOT:PSS electrode recordings filtered between 250 and 6000 Hz. Previous studies with these devices have recorded single action potentials from pial surfaces, including in humans (Wilks et al. 2011; Sessolo et al. 2013; Khodagholy et al. 2015, 2016, 2017; Celiot et al. 2016; Kaiju et al. 2017; Hermiz et al. 2020). Some of these events (which we call Type 1 events) had similar kinetics to single units (i.e., individual spikes) recorded with extracellular electrodes including prior surface PEDOT:PSS electrode recordings (Khodagholy et al. 2015, 2016, 2017; Fig. 2A).

We used the Kilosort2 software package only to detect Type 1 events (Pachitariu et al. 2016) by sorting waveforms into separable repeated events using a template matching approach that considers waveform spatial spread across electrode sites, a process that identified clusters of repeated Type 1 events in 24 out of 36 participants (Fig. 2). We hypothesize that the presence or absence of Type 1 events was related to cortical surface contact (which can vary from case to case per clinical considerations and variable placement) as well as overlap on or near vessels or, in some cases, tumor tissue (see Supplementary Figs 1–3, Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 1C). The average frequency (number of events in a time window) across participants was 0.13 ± 0.75 with a median of 0.026 Hz (Fig. 2C,D). IEI histograms and autocorrelograms showed diverse patterns both within a recording (Fig. 2E,F) and across recordings (Supplementary Fig. 7). We did not observe consistent rhythmicity for these Type 1 events.

The sorted waveforms were generally negatively deflecting, though each event could be seen as negative on some channels and positive on the others (Fig. 2), with voltage amplitudes of 123.3 ± 89.57 μV and a median of 93.2 μV (averaged per cluster and then averaged across participants, resulting in 18 513 detected events subdivided into 420 identified, sortable, replicable “units” detected across 24 participants, with 17.5 ± 16.8 clusters detected per participant; Fig. 2G,H). The half peak widths for the largest waveforms per cluster across the dataset averaged 0.49 ± 0.217 ms (Fig. 2B,C,G,H). While fast compared with most somatic action potentials, these values are within the waveform range established by previous recordings using sharp electrodes (e.g., Utah array) in the human cortex or in rodents and NHPs (Bartho et al. 2004; Keller et al. 2010; Vigneswaran et al. 2011; Robbins et al. 2013; Chan et al. 2014; Anastassiou et al. 2015; Hamilton et al. 2018; Trainito et al. 2019). However, some events had waveform kinetics that were faster or slower than anticipated and amplitudes that were larger than expected for neurons at the pial surface (Fig. 2B,C,G,H).

Slower Microscale Events Are Also Detectable on the Surface of the Human Brain

PEDOT:PSS electrode recordings also revealed microscale phenomena distinct from the fast waveform activity represented by Type 1 events (Figs 1 and 2; Supplementary Video 1). These discrete, slower events occurred across multiple channels in a single recording, varied in polarity across channels and in time, and could repeat through time across neighboring channels. Notably, these events could be separated into distinct classes based on duration, with some lasting 100–200 ms and others less than 20 ms. That such events were not restricted to a single amplifier chip or bank, cable, recording system, or other physical structure indicates that they likely have physiological origins (see Materials and Methods). Having observed these waveforms repeatedly across participants and recordings, we set out to classify and characterize the corresponding waveforms to determine whether these events were spatially and temporally discrete, were part of ongoing oscillations, could be modulated, or had a significant relationship either to the detected fast waveforms or to each other.

To probe these waveforms, in addition to Type 1 (fast 1–2 ms duration events, detected in recordings filtered between 250 and 6000 Hz; Fig. 1), we defined two other events types: Type 2 events with a sharp rise and slow fall, ~10–30 ms absolute half-peak duration, detected in recordings filtered below 500 Hz and Type 3 events with a slow rise and slow fall, ~100 ms absolute half-peak duration, detected in recordings filtered below 500 Hz (Fig. 3A–E; Supplementary Video 1). We wondered whether these events were simply IIDs (Curtis et al. 2012). To further explore this possibility, we combined an automatic IID detection algorithm (Janca et al. 2015) with a visual check by an experienced electroencephalographer (see Materials and Methods). The detected IIDs were most often separate from the Type 2 and 3 events and usually spanned the entire electrode array, while Type 2 and 3 events were localized on the grids (Fig. 3G).

Initial Type 2 and 3 waveform classifications, even relative to IIDs, were based on visual inspection and spectral analysis. However, as a semi-automated method to classify and identify the waveforms, we performed PCA with k-means clustering to determine the most common baseline waveform templates for putative Type 2 and 3 events (Supplementary Fig. 8). These waveform templates became the basis of a template matching algorithm to detect and identify individual Type 2 and Type 3 events throughout the recordings. The criteria for identifying Type 2 and 3 events were: 1) absolute waveforms were greater than 25 μV; 2) detected waveforms correlated above 0.8 with the template event waveform; 3) the second derivative at the waveform onset was greater than 2; and 4) the absolute average voltage in the 100 ms preceding the event onset was less than 25 μV (to reduce the chances of capturing ongoing oscillations) (Fig. 3F,G; see Materials and Methods). The code for using these criteria to detect such events in other recordings is available for use by the community (https://github.com/Center-For-Neurotechnology/LFP-Type2-and-Type3-Detection).

Our results confirmed that our template matching approach could both detect and separate Type 2 and 3 events. More specifically, we found that the average time constant rise time (t_rise) and time constant decay time (t_fall) were significantly different between event types, after we averaged values per patient and then compared between types (N = 36; P < 0.00001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Supplementary Fig. 9).

Type 2 events had an average time t_rise of 14.18 ± 8.42 ms and an average t_fall of 30.62 ± 6.33 ms as averaged across participants (after taking the absolute voltage values, see Materials and Methods). In contrast, Type 3 events had an average t_rise of 28.62 ± 8.80 ms and t_fall of 81.48 ± 11.91 ms. More importantly, Type 3 events were significantly more frequent than Type 2 events (P < 0.0001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Fig. 3H; Supplementary Fig. 9), with Type 3 events (n = 55406) occurring ~9 times more often than Type 2 events (n = 6404) across recordings and participants. To demonstrate that these events repeated in time and space, we calculated the detected Type 2 and 3 events’ variance within and across channels to determine waveform consistency through time on the same channels. If there was less variance in the waveform within
Figure 3. Classifiable events can be identified across recordings and participants. (A) Recording from participant IP25, mapped to the circular grid. Waveform color-coding matches channel color-coding with cyan to magenta (channels 1–64) and green to yellow (channels 65–128) indicating the four separate 32-channel amplifier banks used in the recording (Bank A: 1–32; Bank B: 33–64; Bank C: 65–96; Bank D: 97–128). Only the channels that fulfilled the criteria are shown as nongray dots. (B) Example recording (electrodes that fulfilled criteria represented as nongray dots) from participant IP15 showing activity changes in time, mapped to the 2-column electrode array. (C) Zoomed view of the red box in B showing Type 2 and 3 events. (D) Example recordings of events at different time points (for IP15) showing only a subset of electrode channels. Repeated events through time for two different event examples are shown (orange and blue ovals). (E) Example recordings (IP11) of a detected IID, indicated by a green rectangle, along with Type 2 and 3 events (circled in gray). (F, G) Stepwise criteria to detect Type 2 and 3 events, with template waveforms shown in G. (1) The first step detected absolute peaks that are greater than 25 μV, as shown in example traces from a single channel and case (IP15). Gray vertical lines denote detected cross-threshold peaks, green lines the thresholds. Red arrows show the events that fulfill all four selection criteria. (2) The next step detected peaks that correlate with the templates ≥0.80. (3) Selected events had a second derivative greater than 2 in the time period at onset, indicated by the shaded gray box. (4) Finally, waves were kept if they had no large deflections before event onset, that is, within 100 ms before crossing the 25-μV threshold, indicated by the green boxes. (H) Average waveforms per participant (N = 36) for the different event classes. The dotted lines indicate the zero-crossing value, in microvolts, to illustrate the number of positively (two left columns) and negatively (two right columns) deflecting detected waveforms. All voltage data shown were low-pass filtered using the high cut-off frequency of 500 Hz.
a channel versus between channels, then these events could be repeated through time on the same channel. The voltage variance across channels was larger than within channels (Supplementary Figs. 9). While this difference was not significant (P > 0.05, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, Supplementary Figs. 9), our combination of template matching and event detection criteria reduced detected waveforms’ variance between and across channels.

In multiple participants, we confirmed the presence of Type 2 and Type 3 events in various brain regions by sampling across brain regions, between cases, and, in 12 instances, when moving the electrode to different brain areas during recording (Supplementary Figs. 1-3 and 5). There were no significant differences among recordings in the temporal lobe, dlPFC, motor area (precentral gyrus), and parietal lobe (P > 0.3320; Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparison test). We did not observe significant differences in waveform shapes and overall frequency during baseline recordings between the epilepsy and tumor surgery contexts or between awake and anesthetized patients (P > 0.05; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Supplementary Figs. 1, 2 and 9). These results could mean that anesthesia does not change the elemental properties of neuronal communication but might have a dynamic impact on frequency and distribution of those events. These events were detectable using different recording systems with the same electrodes (see Materials and Methods). In addition, we found almost no Type 1, 2, or 3 events when recording over putative tumor tissue; when we flipped the array upside down so that the electrode pads were not facing the pial surface (N = 1 in each case, see Materials and Methods); or when placed in saline (Supplementary Figs. 10–12).

Finally, we compared the Type 2 and 3 events to the IIIs detected in our recordings (Fig. 3E). Of the 37 PEDOT:PSS recordings, only 31 underwent processing, via automated detection. We found almost no Type 2 (n = 12; 0.0027 ± 0.0061 events per second) or Type 3 (n = 75; 0.0167 ± 0.0287 events per second) events on the clinical electrodes, which was significantly fewer than in concurrent PEDOT:PSS recordings (Type 2: n = 1383; 0.31 ± 0.34 events per second; Type 3: n = 12,182; 2.71 ± 3.28 events per second; P < 0.002 for both Type 2 and 3; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Fig. 4C). The clinical leads could be summing activity from a much larger area effectively raising the overall background activity level such that these highly localized, and bidirectional, events disappear. While the Type 2 and 3 events were not easily observed with clinical electrodes, we tested whether we could detect these events with other neurorecording systems. To this end, we performed the same template matching on neural recordings from patients with epilepsy semi-chronically implanted with Utah arrays, which were either 1 mm (N = 4) or 1.5 mm (N = 4) deep (Fig. 4C,ii; Supplementary Table 3). We found that the Utah array recordings were noisier than the PEDOT:PSS recordings, so we increased our correlation threshold for identifying Type 2 and 3 events from 0.8 to 0.9, to be stricter in our detections. Even with this more strict detection approach, we found more Type 2 and 3 events with the shorter Utah electrodes (Type 2: n = 76; Type 3: n = 4369) than the deeper ones (Type 2: n = 14; Type 3: n = 111) using the same template matching as in the PEDOT:PSS data analysis (Type 2: P = 0.08; Type 3: P = 0.083; Type 2: 1.0 mm-0.0079 ± 0.0028 vs. 1.5 mm-0.0015 ± 0.0008 per second; Type 3: 1.0 mm-0.4551 ± 0.2818 vs. 1.5 mm-0.0116 ± 0.0067 events per second; N = 4 each Utah array depth; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Fig. 4C,ii). We also used the same template-matching approach on semi-chronic laminar microelectrode data that sample multiple cortical layers (Ulbert, Halgren, et al. 2001a; Ulbert, Karmos, et al. 2001b; N = 9; Fig. 4C,iii). We observed few Type 2 events in the laminar recordings (n = 24; 0.002 ± 0.002 events per second) but more Type 3 events (n = 3084, 0.26 ± 0.46 events per second). Interestingly, the laminar recordings yielded more Type 3 events in the superficial cortical layers, as evidenced by the average negative correlation between channel numbers and Type 3 counts across the array (rho = −0.48 ± 0.38; significantly different to zero; P = 0.0313; Wilcoxon signed rank test; N = 9; Fig. 4E). To summarize, Type 2 and 3 events were detectable by methods other than PEDOT:PSS microelectrodes, including semi-chronic electrodes, and occurred more often in superficial cortical layers.

Finally, as a separate check to determine if these events can be found in yet another set of intracranial recordings in the human cortex, we applied our automatic Type 2 and 3 event detector to single electrode recordings lowered through the dlPFC as is done to locate subcortical deep nuclei for DBS electrode implants (Patel et al. 2013; Jamali et al. 2019). We additionally found both Type 2 and 3 events in these recordings (N = 2 patients, data not shown).

**Event Types 1, 2, and 3 have different spatial characteristics**

Type 2 and 3 events spanned multiple channels (Figs 1–3). We examined the spatial spread of concurrent Type 2 and 3 events with onset times within the same10-ms time window (chosen based on IEI distributions; Fig. 4A,B). For windows in which multiple channels detect one event, we measured the average number of reporting channels, spatial distance from the first to the last reporting channel (in μm), area covered (in μm²), speed of waveform travel (in m/s), and event time span (in seconds). None of these parameters significantly differed between Types 2 and 3, though multichannel Type 3 events tended to cover more area and longer spatial distances (P > 0.07; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Fig. 4B). Using this approach, we found that Type 2 and 3 events were observed, on average, across 5–10 channels across the entire array. This was equivalent to or slightly more than a 100 μm × 100 μm area, suggesting that these events are relatively localized (Fig. 4B). However, the detected Type 3 events spread significantly faster (Type 2: 0.05 ± 0.038 m/s; Type 3: 0.08 ± 0.0511 m/s; P = 0.005; rank-sum = 405; Wilcoxon rank-sum test) (Fig. 4B,iv). The spatial spread differences between the larger circular grid and the smaller 2-column grid (as indicated by the white vs. black scatter plots; Fig. 4B,ii,iii) likely produced the considerably varied event speeds per participant (Fig. 4B,iv).

**Type 2 and 3 Events Are Detectable with Other Microelectrode Types and Near the Cortical Surface**

To determine if these events are only present in PEDOT:PSS recordings from the cortical surface, we applied our template matching to concurrent clinical electrodes (3-mm diameter contacts on the brain surface; N = 9). We found almost no Type 2 (n = 12; 0.0027 ± 0.0061 events per second) or Type 3 (n = 75; 0.0167 ± 0.0287 events per second) events on the clinical electrodes, which was significantly fewer than in concurrent PEDOT:PSS recordings (Type 2: n = 1383; 0.31 ± 0.34 events per second; Type 3: n = 12,182; 2.71 ± 3.28 events per second; P < 0.002 for both Type 2 and 3; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Fig. 4C).
Figure 4. Temporal and spatial spread of Type 2 and 3 events. (A) Example recording from participant IP17 illustrating methods for determining event spatial spread (area). (B, i–iv) In cases where multiple channels detected one event, we compared the detected waveforms across channels, area covered (μm²), speed of event propagation across channels (m/s), and distance traveled across the electrode array (μm). Box plots and confidence intervals around the mean values per measure per participant are indicated by whisker bars; center line indicates median, N = 36. (C) Event time span (s). White dots are the 2-column grids, while black dots are the circular grid recordings per participant. Asterisks indicate P < 0.05, Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (D) Images of the circular PEDOT:PSS grid electrode (D, i, ii), Utah array (Diii–iv), implanted electrode (arrowhead) overlaid by a clinical grid (Dv), the implanted electrode (Dv), and a laminar array electrode (Dvi). (E, i–iii) Top: diagrams of the clinical surface electrodes, PEDOT:PSS array, Utah laminar arrays (1.0- and 1.5-mm depths), and laminar arrays showing relative sampling geometry of the different systems. Bottom: (E, i) Significantly more Type 2 and 3 events per second occur in the PEDOT:PSS array recordings than the clinical recordings (P < 0.001; N = 9; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (E, ii) Significantly more Type 2 and 3 events are detected per second in the shallower Utah array recordings than the deeper recordings (P < 0.001; N = 4 each Utah array depth; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). (E, iii) More Type 3 events are detected per second in superficial laminar contacts as evidenced by the average negative correlation between the channel number and Type 3 counts across the array (rho = −0.48 ± 0.38; significantly different from zero; P = 0.0313; Wilcoxon signed rank test; N = 9). (F) Example average detected Type 2 and 3 events per participant with n = number of detected events. The dotted lines indicate the zero-crossing value in microvolts to illustrate the number of positively and negatively deflecting detected waveforms. In B, C, and E, the number of events were first averaged per contact and then averaged per patient. Each dot in B, C, and E represents this average for an individual participant.
Spectral Dynamics Surrounding Type 1, 2, and 3 Events

We performed four separate analyses to specifically determine if any of the detected events were part of an ongoing oscillation (Cole and Voytek 2017). We examined 1) event-locked spectral power increases surrounding the events (performed for Type 1, 2, and 3 events); 2) peaks in power for oscillations at specific frequency bands surrounding each event (after removing the events, performed for Type 2 and 3 events, including with shuffled trials); 3) phase-locking of Type 2 and 3 events with specific frequency bands (after removing the events, performed for Type 2 and 3 events); and 4) periodic regularity in the IEE and autocorrelation plots (performed for Type 1, 2, and 3 events). We focused on recordings of spontaneous activity without additional stimuli or manipulations and on five different frequency bands (2–4, 4–8, 8–15, 15–30, 30–55, and 65–200 Hz). Regarding power changes surrounding events, phase-locking measures, and periodic regularity in the IEE dynamics, see the Supplementary Material (Supplementary Figs 13–17). Throughout these analyses, we found no significant relationship in phase or power between ongoing oscillations and Type 2 and 3 events.

All three event types were associated with a broadband increase in power during the event or slightly beforehand ( ~ 0.25 s) in the event-triggered normalized power dynamics (Supplementary Fig. 13). Type 1 events coincided with an average broadband increase in power for the event duration on multiple frequency bands (4–30 Hz) (Supplementary Fig. 13). Type 2 events coincided with a significant broadband increase in power slightly before and during the event (P < 0.001; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; corrected for multiple comparisons with false discovery rate control; Supplementary Fig. 13). Type 3 event-triggered LFP power had low-frequency power peaks surrounding each event, but within 0.25 s, which were smaller than the change in power during the Type 2 events (Supplementary Fig. 13). Outside the 0.25-s window, no consistent power modulation in any frequency band preceding the events in the event-triggered power dynamics. We found no clear relationship between ongoing oscillations relative to Type 2 and 3 events. We did not test the spectral relationships between Type 2 and 3 events relative to external stimuli, as we sought to study Type 1, 2, and 3 events relative to ongoing oscillations that are not stimulus-linked. More importantly, Type 2–3 events’ relationships to spectral dynamics are outside the scope of this study and will be part of future research, particularly in other species.

Confounding these results, however, is that these significant changes in power could be because the sharp deflection at the onset of the Type 1, 2, and 3 events generates a broadband increase in power in all frequencies. To test this, we performed the same event-triggered power calculation on the very few artificial “events,” from saline recordings, which were detected using our template matching approach but did not have as sharp waveform onsets. These events had no such power increase at onset (Materials and Methods, Supplementary Fig. 14).

Type 1–3 Events Are Not a Purely Human Phenomenon and Are Present in Healthy Cortex

To determine if these events represent normal neural activity, as they could be small-field IDs in epileptiform tissue (Curtis et al. 2012), and to prepare for future, more extensive mechanistic investigations (Barry 2015; Moore et al. 2017; Suzuki and Larkum 2017; Abdelfattah et al. 2019), we sought these events in animal recordings with PEDOT:PSS electrode arrays. These studies included recordings from the barrel cortex region of the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) in alpha-chloralose anesthetized mice (N = 4), the primary visual cortex (V1) in a ketamine-anesthetized mouse, the primary visual cortex (V1) in two isoflurane-anesthetized mice, and both primary and higher visual areas (V1 and V4) in an anesthetized NHP in an acute setting (N = 1) using the circular, 2-column, and square grid PEDOT:PSS electrodes (Supplementary Figs 4, 5, 18; Supplementary Table 4). In both the NHP and mouse barrel cortex, where the array was placed directly on the cortical surface (with the dura removed), we found Type 1, 2, and 3 waveforms across recording sessions performed with different electrodes as well as repeatedly in the same recording sessions. In the V1 mouse epidural recording session, where the array was placed on top of the dura, we found only Type 2 and 3 waveforms, possibly due to the low-pass filtering property of the dura (Supplementary Fig. 18; Type 1 activity data not shown). The Type 2 and 3 events all had waveform characteristics (t-rise time and t-fall time) and frequencies similar to those observed in the human recordings (Supplementary Figs 18–20). We also performed event-triggered spectral comparisons and found dynamics similar to those in humans (Supplementary Fig. 20). As a final test, we performed postmortem recordings in the V1 area of cortex in a mouse that had been anesthetized with ketamine. We found that the Type 1, 2, and 3 waveforms had completely disappeared after euthanasia, supporting their physiological origin (Supplementary Fig. 18).

Auditory Stimulation Type 3 Event Frequency

To test if these events were relevant to neural processing during behavior, we recorded neural activity over the human superior temporal gyrus (STG) and lateral prefrontal cortex while presenting regular auditory cues in a subset of participants undergoing awake resective surgeries (Ganji, Atsunori, et al. 2017b; Ganji, Kaestner, et al. 2017b; Hermiz et al. 2018) (N = 11; Fig. 5A). We detected both evoked potentials and Type 1–3 events related to the auditory task (Fig. 5B; see Materials and Methods). When we performed the PSTH calculations for Type 1–3 events, we found that Type 3 events were the most frequent following the auditory stimulus (Fig. 5B), with no significant difference in counts between Type 1 and Type 2 events (Fig. 5B, event rate [Hz] comparison: P = 0.1078; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). The evoked potentials tended to be time-locked across trials and were slower, while the Type 3 event onsets were more varied in the half second after sound began (note the varying peaks in the PSTH in Fig. 5B). Further behavioral and auditory stimuli may be needed to better delineate these dynamics, particularly since spectral analyses have shown notable changes in high gamma and other frequency bands in response to auditory stimulation over the STG intraoperatively using PEDOT:PSS (Hermiz et al. 2018). Additionally, waveform characteristics did not vary significantly during the auditory cue versus noncue epochs (Supplementary Fig. 21).

Pharmacological Manipulation Alters Event Rate

We hypothesized that if these events are physiological, then Type 1, 2, and 3 event rates should vary with cold saline application (N = 8) or intravenously administered proconvulsant agents (methohexital or alfentanil), which are used to map seizure foci in the brain (Curtis et al. 2012) (N = 9; Supplementary Table 1). Across participants, both cold
Figure 5. Manipulations alter rates of Type 1–3 events. (A) Effects of auditory stimuli on Type 2 and 3 events. Left: Recording from participant IP22 showing neural dynamics during repeated auditory stimuli in the averaged evoked potentials across trials. Right: Raster plots of Type 3 events occurring across all trials with auditory stimulus trial times indicated by blue vertical lines. (B) Left: PSTHs of each event type binned per 25 ms and then averaged across all patients (N = 11). For the line plots, shaded error regions are all SEM. (C) Effects of pro-convulsant medication and cold saline on Type 1–3 events and IIDs. PSTH of log binned activity following the administration of pro-convulsant medication (methohexital or alfentanil; left) or cold saline application (right) averaged across patients. Type 1 (top), Type 2 (middle), and Type 3 events (middle) as well as IIDs (bottom) were binned every 100 ms and normalized relative to baseline by dividing each bin by the average baseline activity. The dotted red line is the baseline normalization value. (D) Histogram of activity changes with 5-min bins. Error bars are SEM, N = 9 for pro-convulsant medication, N = 8 for cold saline application. Asterisks indicate significant difference from baseline with P < 0.001, Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (E) Electrical stimulation changes in the rate of Type 1–3 events. Recording from participant IP07 showing a wave of activity (Type 3 event) following a train of stimuli delivered at 60 Hz for 1–2 sec during clinical mapping, with the channels laid out along a single line (left) and time-voltage curves mapped relative to the 2-column grid electrode layout (activity in space, right). Blue and orange arrowheads indicate the same pair of channels as in the left time-voltage plots versus the right time-voltage plots relative to the electrode layout. The red arrowhead indicates the direction of stimulation relative to the electrode layout, though the bipolar stimulation was 1–2 cm away. (F) PSTHs of binned activity.
saline and pro-convulsant medication such as methohexital suppressed event frequency (Fig. 5CD). Administering pro-convulsant medication notably lowered Type 2 and 3 event rates while still increasing epileptiform activity. More specifically, Type 2 events tended to decrease 4 min after the addition of pro-convulsant medication (0.0096 ± 0.0772 Hz vs. 0.02 ± 0.219 Hz before) (P = 0.033; N = 9; Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparisons test). Type 3 events were significantly less frequent 3 min after pro-convulsant medication was intravenously injected (0.52 ± 0.813 Hz before injection vs. 0.38 ± 0.678 Hz 4 min after injection and continuing up to 5 min; N = 9; P < 0.0001; Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparisons test; Fig. 5CD, left column). Type 1 events were only found in 1 of the 9 recordings with pro-convulsant medication, so we could not perform the same statistical comparisons.

We contrasted these results with changes in the rate of IIDs as identified by trained epileptologists. IIDs were significantly more frequent after intravenous injection of pro-convulsant medication (0.001 ± 0.001 Hz before injection vs. 0.0099 ± 0.0094 Hz 3 min after injection; N = 9; Fig. 5CD, left column), adding further evidence that these events belong to a healthy electrophysiological repertoire of neural activity rather than miniature IIDs (Schevon et al. 2008, 2010).

Adding cold saline suppressed Type 1, 2, and 3 events across participants (N = 8; Fig. 5CD). Type 1 event counts dropped significantly, from 0.0029 ± 0.0023 to 0.0001 ± 0.0002 Hz 2 min after cold saline application (P < 0.0001; Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparisons test). Type 2 event rate decreased from 0.01 ± 0.024 Hz during baseline to 0.002 ± 0.003 Hz 2 min after cold saline application across all channels and all participants, though the change was not significant (P = 0.7282; Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparisons test). Cold saline also rendered Type 3 events less frequent, with a significant decrease after 2 min (0.04 ± 0.046 Hz before addition of cold saline vs. 0.01 ± 0.019 Hz 2 min after saline addition; P < 0.0001; Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparisons test; Fig. 5CD, right column).

It should be noted that neither intervention significantly altered waveform characteristics relative to baseline (P > 0.12; Wilcoxon rank sum test; Supplementary Fig. 21). Additionally, in all cases, room temperature saline was regularly used to irrigate the cortical surface during surgery, and event rates did not change during application of room temperature saline (data not shown).

**Electrical Stimulation Changes Event Rates and Spread**

To further test the hypothesis that Type 1–3 events have neural origins, we examined changes in activity during and after trains of electrical stimuli (as in motor or language mapping; Berger and Ojemann 1992; Mueller and Morris 1993; Kawaguchi et al. 1996; Viventi et al. 2011; Borchers et al. 2012; Fig. 5E). When stimuli trains were applied to the cortex surface, consequent traveling waves of Type 3 events progressed across all channels, sometimes starting soon after stimulation ended but often initiating 1–2 s after stimulation (Fig. 5E). These propagating waves of activity occurred all instances with clinically relevant trains of electrical stimulation. Similar activity waves were reported in other situations in other cortical recordings (Viventi et al. 2011), though not due to stimulation.

We examined the PSTH of Type 1–3 events around each stimulation train (normalized to 1 s before stimulation) and found that, during stimulation, Type 2 event rate decreased and Type 3 events were significantly inhibited (P < 0.0001; Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison between baseline and each binned time window; Fig. 5FG). Immediately after stimulation, Type 1, 2, and 3 events were all more frequent (P < 0.0001; Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison between baseline and each binned time window; Fig. 5FG), while waveform characteristics were unchanged (Supplementary Fig. 21).

**Temporal Interactions between Different Event Types**

While investigating we examined whether Type 1 events had a consistent relationship to the slower Type 2 and 3 events (Fig. 6), we found several examples across participants where either Type 1, 2, and 3 events overlapped in time or Type 1 events preceded Type 2 or 3 events (Fig. 6A,B). Furthermore, we found small, but prominent, peaks in event cross-covariances with Type 1 events preceding Type 2 and Type 3 events, often by ~10 ms (Fig. 6CD; P < 0.0015; Wilcoxon rank-sum test; N = 36). This small cross-covariance peak occurred between Type 2 and Type 3 events, though there was no significant lag between them (Fig. 6CD; P = 0.25). Another way to measure the temporal relationship between events was to identify the maximum time lag between Type 1 events and Type 2 and 3 events for each recording and determine the count and distribution of the maximum lag. Again, we found a delayed peak around 10 ms between Type 1 and both Type 2 and 3 events (Fig. 6E).

Interestingly, however, there were also a number of Type 3 events preceding Type 1 events at longer time scales (closer to 50–100 ms; P < 0.0001; Wilcoxon signed rank test for significant different to zero; N = 24; Supplementary Fig. 22). Together, these findings suggest that Type 1 events generally occur prior to Type 2 and 3 events, on the ~10-ms time scale.

**Discussion**

We observed repeated, localized microscale waveforms across both surface and penetrating microelectrode recordings in human and nonhuman cortex, and these waveforms could be divided into faster (~1 ms, Type 1) and slower (~10–100 ms, Type 2 and Type 3) events. To exclude possible artifacts of the recording system, electrodes, and other confounding factors, we examined recordings made in eight different centers; across 56 patients, four mice, and one NHP; and with four different recording systems. We found these events in all cases irrespective of the recording microelectrode type (PEDOT:PSS, Utah, laminar, and sharp electrodes) and under both acute and semi-chronic conditions. The different event types were selectively chronic conditions. The different event types were selectively
Figure 6. Temporal relationships between event Types. (A, B) Concurrent Type 1, 2, and 3 events across participants and channels in the simultaneous high-pass filtered data (between 250 and 6000 Hz, black lines) and the low-pass filtered data (at 500 Hz, gray lines). Type 1 events are circled in red. In A, Type 1 events are isolated from Type 2 and 3, and in B, a Type 1 event is on a different channel than the Type 2 event. (C) Left: Average cross-covariance plots between Type 1, 2 (gray line), and 3 (black line) events occurring simultaneously on different channels (N=24). Right: Average cross-covariance plots between Type 2 and 3 event occurring simultaneously on different neighboring channels (N=36). Shaded lines are standard error. (D) Average covariance from the 50 ms before each event to same interval after the event. Asterisks indicate P < 0.001; N=24; Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Error bars are SEM. (E) Binned maximum time lag between the Type 1 event and the Type 2 and 3 events for all human recordings (two right plots) and the binned maximum time lag between the Type 2 and 3 events. (F) Median maximum lag in covariance between Types 1 and 2 (gray box plot), Types 1 and 3 (black box plot), and Types 2 and 3 with confidence bounds. Asterisk indicates that distribution differs significantly from zero, P=0.0004. Wilcoxon signed rank test.
saline and were differently impacted by electrical stimulation and sensory stimuli. In addition, we detected Type 2 and 3 events more often in the upper cortical layers, whether with shorter Utah arrays or the superficial contacts of laminar microelectrode arrays. Such events were essentially absent in both nonbiological recordings (e.g., recordings performed in saline, after euthanasia, or "upside down" meaning that the electrode was not facing the cortical surface) and concurrent neural recordings using 3-mm-diameter clinical macroelectrode contacts. Furthermore, these events had characteristic spatiotemporal patterns, were repeated and localized, and showed rare but statistically significant interactions, with Type 1 events more often preceding Type 2 and 3 events. Type 1, 2, and 3 events were suppressed with intravenous pro-convulsive medication.

Given that recordings in humans were performed during clinically necessary intracranial monitoring, a significant challenge for interpreting these results is the question of whether the Type 1, 2, and 3 events reflect normal brain activity or pathology. If these events were indeed related to abnormal brain activity, they would still be of interest as biomarkers of pathology; however, the present results provide substantial evidence that these are physiologically normal events. First, pathological events are unlikely to be induced by auditory stimuli and there was a clear separation between IIDs and these types of events. Second, we found these events in all examined patients regardless of their pathology or location and in semi-chronic recordings using Utah arrays and laminar recordings. Third, we observed similar events in both nonrodents and an NHP. Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that these events, recorded from the cortical surface, could be found in normal tissue and represent normal physiological activity.

Importantly, these surface events appeared as stand-alone occurrences with asymmetric waveforms and relatively fast kinetics but without rhythmicity, indicating that they were not part of typical ongoing oscillations. Were events part of an ongoing oscillation, we would expect a longer term increase in power before and after the event, either locked to the event onset or related to the phase of an ongoing oscillation. Yet, no significant power or phase relationships were observed between ongoing oscillations and these different events (background oscillations were observed separately from these discrete events). This indicates that these events occurred independently rather than as fragments of ongoing oscillations. While the events might have some relationship to oscillatory activity under certain circumstances, determining such a relationship would require specialized analysis focusing on a particular oscillation frequency band in a specific brain state or context, as in traditional analysis of single unit activity. Furthermore, we did observe more typical oscillations in these recordings, and, again, these were independent of the unitary events described here.

One caveat regarding the present work, however, is that our strict criteria and template matching likely under-sample Type 2 and 3 events. Thus, our interpretation of event rates and spatial characteristics might not be fully accurate. As has occurred with spike sorting, future work will need to evolve toward more sophisticated tools to detect these events in the course of spontaneous neural activity. An additional related potential confound is that most of the data are from acute recordings in the OR, an experimental arena prone to considerable noise and instability for electrophysiological recording. To mitigate these issues, we repeated the tests across hospitals, participants, species, electrodes, and recording systems. Concerned that the environment could be introducing noise, we also examined semi-chronic data to demonstrate the presence of these events. However, even with all these tests, we concede that some noise could be detected as events. Again, more sophisticated detection approaches could clear up these issues especially as we obtain a greater understanding of the cellular mechanisms underlying this activity. We hypothesize that the low impedance, conformable, spatially high-resolution PEDOT:PSS electrodes are better able to spatially map these events than rigid devices on the cortical surface, but this will require further testing.

While the cellular processes underlying these events are unknown and warrant further investigation, it is worth speculating on several possibilities. One is that the events reflect activity in specific, specialized, neural cell types present in supragranular layers of the cortex (Rakic and Zecevic 2003; Zaitsev et al. 2009; Mohan et al. 2015; Gabbott 2016; Boldog et al. 2018). These could include Cajal-Retzius cells or other relatively rare cell types found in upper cortical layers (Rakic and Zecevic 2003; Mohan et al. 2015; Gabbott 2016). Alternatively, these events could reflect ionic flux in glial cells in upper cortical layers, although the fast kinetics make this somewhat less likely as astrocytic waves normally occur on the time scale of seconds (Hassinger et al. 1996; Scemes and Gaume 2006; Takara and Hirase 2008; Kuga et al. 2011; Khakh and McCarthy 2015; Rouach et al. 2018). More intriguing is the possibility that some of the Type 1 and faster Type 2 events reflect back-propagating dendritic action potentials (Robbins et al. 2013; Stuart and Spruston 2015; Moore et al. 2017; Jia et al. 2019), while the slower Type 2 and Type 3 events could be the result of dendritic calcium spikes (Bakkum et al. 2013; Barry 2015; Suzuki and Larkum 2017). Similar activity has been reported in fine electrodes in freely behaving rats. In that instance, putative dendritic action potentials were recorded using tetrodes following the formation of a glial sheath, which increases contact between the neural dendrites and fine wires (Moore et al. 2017). The waveform shapes of extracellular dendritic action potentials reported in that study had similar voltage range (~0.5–1 mV) to the Type 2 events described here, although shorter duration (~5–10 ms). Type 2 and/or Type 3 events could also indicate localized calcium spikes in the apical tufts of pyramidal neurons from deeper layers (Ross 2015; Suzuki and Larkum 2017; Golding et al. 2018). Similar time courses and waveforms have also been recorded from the cortex surface in anesthetized rats, phenomena that were then verified, through optogenetics, laminar probes, pharmacological manipulation, and calcium imaging, as dendritic calcium spikes from layer 2/3 or layer 5 pyramidal neurons (Suzuki and Larkum 2017). Extrapolating from those findings and the data presented here, it is possible that high-density microelectrodes could be recording dendritic activity at the pial surface.

In addition, some of the Type 1 waveforms were well within the range of extracellular action potential dynamics as routinely recorded with other types of probes. Yet, the family of Type 1 events described here also included very narrow waveforms, some triphasic in appearance and some with relatively large amplitudes compared with previously recorded somatic action potentials. It should be noted that primate pyramidal neurons have been shown to produce fast (or “thin”) spikes not often observed in nonprimate species (Vigneswaran et al. 2011). The different waveform shapes for the fast events we identified may also represent different neural subclasses (Bartho et al. 2004; Vigneswaran et al. 2011; Chan et al. 2014; Trainito et al. 2019). In addition, with their short duration and triphasic morphology, some Type 1 events resemble axonal action potential recordings.
human brain dynamics. This notion is supported in part by the observed relationship whereby Type 1 events preceded some of the Type 2 and 3 events, thereby implying that the fast Type 1 events could be axon inputs while the slower events could be a post-synaptic consequence. These possibilities may also account for the fact that some Type 1 events were spatially colocalized with Type 2 and 3 events. While this colocalization was rare across recordings, the timing relationships were significant.

In summary, we speculate that these different classes of relatively fast activity could reflect a range of neuronal events including axonal action potentials, fast and slow events in the dendrite, and somatic action potentials. In the future, optical calcium and voltage imaging combined with electrophysiological recording approaches in animal models will be essential to further resolve the mechanisms of these events’ generation (Harvey et al. 2009; Roome and Kuhn 2018; Abdelfattah et al. 2019; Adam et al. 2019; Chiang et al. 2020; Hossain et al. 2020). Electrophysiological events will need to be examined in awake animals using calcium imaging (Suzuki and Larkum 2017), intracellular recordings (Harvey et al. 2009; Abdelfattah et al. 2019), pharmacological interventions (Suzuki and Larkum 2017), and genetic cell-type-specific manipulation (Abdelfattah et al. 2019).

Exploring the entire neurophysiological signal from micro-electrode technologies can uncover, or at least highlight, signals otherwise understudied in the neuroscience field. These results open the possibility that we can access circuit-level dynamics in the cortex using high-resolution sampling. More specifically, these Type 1–3 events could represent the entire input–output sequence of neuronal activity where, in a given brain region, there may be an incoming axonal volley (Type 1 events with very fast, trimodal waveforms), postsynaptic events (e.g., dendritic calcium spikes reflected as Type 2 and 3 events), followed by somatic action potentials (Type 1 events), and dendritic back-propagating spikes (Type 2), all in the setting of widespread activity measured by microelectrodes even on the surface of the cortex. If so, we could more thoroughly study the detailed neural circuit activity underlying physiological events and build more complete bridges from the single-cell level to large-scale population behavior in the context of both healthy and pathological human brain dynamics.
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Supplemental material included:

Supplementary Material for validation of Type 2 and 3 events: Recording using a different system and over a putative tumor

Supplementary Material for examination of the relationship between oscillations and Type 1-3 events

Supplementary Video 1. Example LFP dynamics shown on a PEDOT:PSS grid over time. Recordings per good channel shown on the left and the same recordings mapped to the circular grid on the right. Distance between lines in the leftmost grid: 200 µVolts. The color coding of the dots of the channels shown in the left grid (along the y axis) matches the small single point (dot) channel color coding underlying the traces on the right. Data are low pass filtered at 500 Hz and sampled at 1000 Hz.

Supplementary Table 1. Distribution and numbers of cases and treatments.

Supplementary Table 2. Information per participant and recording. 2-column and circular refer to PEDOT:PSS grid configurations.

Supplementary Table 3. Information per participant and recording, Utah array and laminar recordings.

Supplementary Table 4. Information per mouse and NHP recording.

Supplementary Figure 1. Right hemisphere 2-column laminar 50 µm pitch PEDOT:PSS electrode locations.

Supplementary Figure 2. Left hemisphere 2-column laminar 50 µm pitch PEDOT:PSS electrode locations.

Supplementary Figure 3. PEDOT:PSS electrode locations of the 800 µm pitch 2-column laminar and the circular grid electrodes.

Supplementary Figure 4. Example RMS examination of channel activity during the quiet periods of a recording and impedance changes of the PEDOT:PSS electrodes during each conditional step.

Supplementary Figure 5. Locations of electrode channels per electrode which survived quality control checks, 2-column grid.

Supplementary Figure 6. Locations of electrode channels per electrode which survived quality control checks, Circular and rectangular grids.

Supplementary Figure 7. Inter-Event-Interval (IEI) distribution for the Type 1 events.

Supplementary Figure 8. Principal components analysis (PCA) on voltage waveforms to determine if there were different clusters of waveforms.

Supplementary Figure 9. Criteria for detecting Type 2 and 3 events and variation across channels versus within channels.
Supplementary Figure 10. Detecting and separating Type 2 and Type 3 waveforms in saline recordings versus on-brain recordings using the same electrodes in the operating room.

Supplementary Figure 11. Type 2 and Type 3 waveforms in saline recordings versus on-brain recordings using the same electrodes in the operating room.

Supplementary Figure 12. Saline recording waveform metrics compared to recordings on brain with the same sets of electrodes.

Supplementary Figure 13. Event-triggered spectral changes in the local field potential (LFP).

Supplementary Figure 14. Event-triggered time-locked power dynamics, saline.

Supplementary Figure 15. Power in different frequency bands surrounding Type 2 and 3 events.

Supplementary Figure 16. Phase-locking to different frequency bands per event type per frequency band.

Supplementary Figure 17. Inter-Event-Interval (IEI) and autocorrelation dynamics for the Type 2 and Type 3 events.

Supplementary Figure 18. NHP and mouse recordings.

Supplementary Figure 19. NHP and mouse recordings, waveform characteristics.

Supplementary Figure 20. Event-triggered time-locked power dynamics for Type 2 and 3 events across NHP and mouse recordings.

Supplementary Figure 21. Waveform measurements during the application of pro-convulsant medication, cold saline, auditory stimulation and electrical stimulation.

Supplementary Figure 22. Spike-triggered voltage averages around Type 1 events.
Supplemental Material for validation of Type 2 and 3 events: Recording using a different system and over a putative tumor

Additional evidence for the idea that these Type 2 and 3 events were neural in origin by first recording from normal brain tissue, and then, in the same session, recording from what appeared to be a tumor. We found Type 2 (n=2) and 3 (n=187) events when recording over the normal brain tissue. After moving the electrode over the tumor, we found no Type 2 events and found a significant decrease in Type 3 frequency and amplitude as well as a significant increase in both the time to rise and time to fall of the Type 3 events over tumor tissue (p<0.05; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). In other words, over tumor tissue, we were far less likely to detect Type 2 and 3 events, and when detected, their waveforms were both smaller and significantly slower than those recorded over normal brain tissue.

To verify that these events were not novel artifacts created by our custom-fabricated, Intan-based recording system, we performed simultaneous recordings using two circular grid electrodes, one recording using the Intan system and the other recording using a Blackrock NSP, a commonly used neurophysiological system (two circular electrodes in Supplementary Fig. 3). We did indeed find both Type 2 and 3 events while recording simultaneously on the same brain, which confirms that they are not artifacts of the recording system (data not shown), indicating that we were not simply recording events (data not shown).

Finally, we performed an upside-down recording (N=1), where we recorded from cortex with the PEDOT:PSS electrode recording neural tissue and then flipped the recording upside down on the surface of the cortex. The idea was to determine if the added noise in the operating room could be introducing the Type 2 and 3 events. The number of detected events with the right side up recording: n=2 Type 2 and n=446 Type 3 events with the upside down recording had no Type 2 events and only 48 Type 3 events for the same 5 minute duration.

Supplemental Material for examination of the relationship between oscillations and Type 1-3 events

To address this broadband effect of the waveform onset, and as an additional test of the spectral relationships between ongoing oscillatory activity and Type 2 and 3 events, we calculated power for 10-second windows of time but excluded the 0.2 sec before and after the onset of the event. We also performed the same power analysis on random, shuffled times, shifting the `onset time’ ±10 sec per event. This approach allowed us to evaluate whether there were consistent power increases in oscillations surrounding each event. The power curves did not vary significantly different between these different conditions across cases and frequencies nor did the adjusted time window (moving every 0.1 sec) power spectra differ for the shuffled versus the event-locked power dynamics. Indeed, there were essentially no significant differences between shuffled versus event-locked power spectral analyses (p<0.001; corrected for multiple comparisons; Supplementary Fig. 15). Therefore, beyond the initial sharp change in voltage at the onset of the Type 2 and 3 events, we could not find any consistent peaks or decreases in power at any frequency band.
Next, we examined Type 2 and 3 events phase-locking to oscillations in specific frequency bands. After performing a Hilbert transform per frequency band, we calculated the average phase-locked value (vector) per event Type per channel. Mean vector lengths for the phase values were low (far below a mean vector of 1 that would signify a strong phase relationship; Supplementary Fig. 16) and did not have significant unipolar distributions (p>0.05, Rayleigh test, N=36). In other words, there was no significant phase relationship between ongoing oscillations and Type 2 and 3 events. Finally, we examined the periodicity of the IEIs and auto-correlations and found no consistent periodicity for any event type (Supplementary Fig. 17).

Supplementary Table 1. Distribution and numbers of cases and manipulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case type with concurrent PEDOT:PSS recording</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not-aware cases</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake cases</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory or cognitive task performed</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDOT:PSS 2-column electrodes tested</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDOT:PSS circular grid electrodes tested</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epileptiform-inducing medication applied</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold saline applied</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases with clinical electrical stimulation</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases with research electrical stimulation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases not analyzed due recording instability</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, PEDOT</strong></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other microelectrode recordings</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semi-chronic laminar recordings, awake</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah array, 1 mm depth, awake</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah array, 1.5 mm depth, awake</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single micro-electrode recordings during DBS implant, awake (MAC)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Other arrays</strong></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total participants</strong></td>
<td><strong>56</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant designation</td>
<td>Case type with concurrent recording</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP01</td>
<td>Left lobectomy, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP02</td>
<td>Awake left craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP03</td>
<td>Right lobectomy, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP04</td>
<td>Right lobectomy, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP05</td>
<td>Right lobectomy, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP06</td>
<td>Right lobectomy parieto- temporal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP07</td>
<td>Awake left craniotomy for tumor, parietal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP08</td>
<td>Right lobectomy, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP09</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal, parietal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP10</td>
<td>Right lobectomy, frontal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP11</td>
<td>Right lobectomy, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP12</td>
<td>Awake left craniotomy for lobectomy, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP13</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP14</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP15</td>
<td>Awake left craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP16</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP17</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP18</td>
<td>Right craniotomy, motor strip, prefrontal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP19</td>
<td>Awake right craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe, parietal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP20</td>
<td>Awake left craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP21</td>
<td>Awake left craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP22</td>
<td>Left craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP23</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP24</td>
<td>Awake left craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP26</td>
<td>Awake craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP27</td>
<td>Awake craniotomy for tumor, prefrontal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP28</td>
<td>Awake craniotomy for tumor, prefrontal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP29</td>
<td>Awake craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP30</td>
<td>Awake craniotomy for tumor, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP31</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal, temporal lobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP32</td>
<td>Right lobectomy temporal,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 3. Information per participant and recording, Utah array and laminar recordings. All semi-chronic participants were awake during the recordings and had no anesthesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant designation</th>
<th>Implanted region</th>
<th>Electrode type</th>
<th>Clinical recordings</th>
<th>stable recording sites</th>
<th>Duration of the recording</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP01</td>
<td>Right posterior superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23/23</td>
<td>Position 1: 785 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP02</td>
<td>Left medial temporal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.0 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>84/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP03</td>
<td>Left medial temporal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.5 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>95/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP04</td>
<td>Right prefrontal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.0 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>87/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP05</td>
<td>Left superior temporal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.5 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>94/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP06</td>
<td>Right medial temporal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.5 mm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>94/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP07</td>
<td>Left lateral prefrontal</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23/23</td>
<td>Position 1: 1051 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>designation</td>
<td>Case type with concurrent PEDOT:PSS recording</td>
<td>PEDOT:PSS electrode type</td>
<td>stable recording sites</td>
<td>Duration of the recording</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP08</td>
<td>Left posterior cingulate</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes 24/24</td>
<td>Position 1: 640 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP09</td>
<td>Right prefrontal lateral</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes 23/23</td>
<td>Position 1: 1346 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP11</td>
<td>Right lateral prefrontal</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes 21/21</td>
<td>Position 1: 2380 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP12</td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes 20/20</td>
<td>Position 1: 2000 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP13</td>
<td>Left lateral prefrontal</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes 23/23</td>
<td>Position 1: 2000 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP14</td>
<td>Right supramarginal gyrus</td>
<td>Laminar electrode</td>
<td>Yes 23/23</td>
<td>Position 1: 1200 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP15</td>
<td>Left medial temporal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.0 mm</td>
<td>Yes 96/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP16</td>
<td>Right medial temporal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.0 mm</td>
<td>Yes 83/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP17</td>
<td>Left prefrontal lobe</td>
<td>Utah array, 1.5 mm</td>
<td>Yes 80/96</td>
<td>Position 1: 2400 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table 4. Information per NHP or mouse and recording.**
Supplementary Fig. 1. Right hemisphere 2-column laminar 50 µm pitch PEDOT:PSS electrode locations. Orange electrode models indicated on the brain across participants. Blue electrodes are clinical leads.
Supplementary Fig. 2. Left hemisphere 2-column laminar 50 µm pitch PEDOT:PSS electrode locations. Orange electrode models indicated on the brain across participants. Blue electrodes are clinical leads.
Supplementary Fig. 3. PEDOT:PSS electrode locations of the 800 µm pitch 2-column laminar and the circular grid electrodes. Orange electrode models indicated on the brain across participants. Blue electrodes are clinical leads.
Supplementary Fig. 4. Locations of electrode channels per electrode which survived quality control checks, 2-column grid. The color coding of the waveforms match the color coding of the channels with magenta, yellow, green, and cyan color coding.
indicating the four separate 32 channel amplifier banks used in the recording. The color coding is cyan to magenta (channels 1-64) then from green to yellow (channels 65-128).

Supplementary Fig. 5. Locations of electrode channels per electrode which survived quality control checks, Circular and rectangular grids. The color coding of the waveforms match the color coding of the channels with magenta, yellow, green, and cyan color coding indicating the four separate 32 channel amplifier banks used in the recording. The color coding is cyan to magenta (channels 1-64) then from green to yellow (channels 65-128).
Supplementary Fig. 6. Example RMS examination of channel activity during the quiet periods of a recording and impedance changes of the PEDOT:PS electrodes during each conditional step. **A.** Example root mean square (RMS) of voltage recordings during quiet periods. Color coding indicate good or bad channels or which recording bank used in the testing. **B.** Average percentage of good channels after a series of different steps including sterilization and when on tissue.
Supplementary Fig. 7. Inter-Event-Interval (IEI) distribution for the Type 1 events. Distribution of IEI values less than 0.1 sec. Each subplot is a different case, with individually differently colored lines indicate different clusters.
Supplementary Fig. 8. Principal components analysis (PCA) on voltage waveforms to determine if there were different clusters of waveforms. A. PCA coefficients applied to the entire data set, with the main waveforms identified as principal component 1 (PC1), principal component 2 (PC2), and principal component 3 (PC3). B. Percent variance explained by each principal component. C. k-means clustering of the PC1 vs PC2 scores. D. Average coefficient waveforms per cluster, color coded to the clusters shown in C. E. Average waveforms per participant in a subset of participants (N=17), showing different positive or negative deflections which are the basis for the template matched Type 2 or Type 3 events as well as IIDs. n-values indicate the number of events ± stdev. detected per participant per cluster. Averages are shown with shaded areas reflecting s.e.m. F. Average $\tau_{\text{rise time}}$, $\tau_{\text{fall time}}$, frequency of events, and absolute amplitude per waveform type across participants, shown in box plots with confidence bounds. N=17. p-values are for comparisons between waveform types, Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison. The waveforms and numbers here are not the exact same as the template-
matched waveforms as in the remainder of the study as this sorting was to determine the
distribution and types of diverse waveforms possible using a threshold-crossing
detection.

Supplementary Figure 9. Criteria for detecting Type 2 and 3 events and variation
across channels versus within channels. A. Template waveforms used to classify the
activity. Measurements include baseline activity before the onset to the peak amplitude
(green arrow), the rise and fall times relative to the peaks (see methods) by quantifying
the τ\textsubscript{rise time} and τ\textsubscript{fall time} time constants as in the following equation: \(\Delta V = (V_{\text{final}} - V_{\text{start}})^{1-1/e^{\text{time}/\tau}}\), where τ\textsubscript{rise time} was calculated as time it took for the voltage to reach 0.63 of
the maximum peak (red arrows) and τ\textsubscript{fall time} was calculated as the time it took for the
voltage to reach 0.37 of the fall back to baseline (blue arrows). B. Average τ\textsubscript{rise time} (Bi),
τ\textsubscript{fall time} (Bii), frequency of events (Biii), and absolute amplitude (Biv) per waveform type
across participants, shown in box plots with confidence bounds. N=36. p-values are for
comparisons between waveform types, Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison. Center lines
indicate median, box limits indicate confidence bounds. C. Left: Average variance of the
voltage for detected Type 2 events per channel (red line) and the average variance of the voltage for detected Type 2 events across channels (black line) per recording and averaged across all participants (N=29). Shaded regions indicate s.e.m. Right: Average variance of the voltage for detected Type 3 events per channel (red line) and the average variance of the voltage for detected Type 3 events across channels (black line) per recording and averaged across all participants (N=29). Shaded regions indicate s.e.m. E. Difference between waveform variance across channels and the waveform variance within each channel, averaged across all participants (N=36). Comparisons are only made for instances when more than 10 waveforms were detected during baseline activity per channel.
Supplementary Fig. 10. Detecting and separating Type 2 and Type 3 waveforms in saline recordings versus on-brain recordings using the same electrodes in the operating room. A. Example recording set up. B. Example recording with induced movement of the electrode in saline. C. Top: log count of the second derivative of the first 100 ms of the waveform in the saline (blue) and over brain (black lines) for the Type 2 (two left plots) and Type 3 (two right plots) for individual and averaged values.
Bottom: normalized count (normalized by the total number of events) the second derivative of the first 100 ms of the waveform in the saline (blue) and over brain (black lines) for the Type 2 (two left plots) and Type 3 (two right plots) for individual and averaged values. The red lines indicate the cutoff threshold used to remove waveforms from the on-brain recordings for further analyses. **D.** Number of events removed per participant and recording before and after added second derivative thresholding approach.
Neural recordings, 5-10 minutes, same electrode per participant
Saline recordings, 5-10 minutes, same electrode per participant

Detected Type 2 Waveforms

Supplementary Fig. 11. Type 2 and Type 3 waveforms in saline recordings versus on-brain recordings using the same electrodes in the operating room. A. Detected Type 2 waveforms with the same electrodes over brain (black lines) or in saline (blue lines). B. Detected Type 3 waveforms with the same electrodes over brain (black lines) or in saline (blue lines).
Supplementary Fig. 12. Saline recording waveform metrics compared to recordings on brain with the same sets of electrodes. Average $\tau_{\text{rise}}$ time (Ai), $\tau_{\text{fall}}$ time (Aii), inter-event interval (Aiii), and Absolute amplitude (Aiv) per waveform type across Type 2 and Type 3 events in saline (blue outlined boxplots) and over brain (black outlined boxplots) with the same electrodes (N=6). p-values are the results of Wilcoxon rank-sum tests comparing Type 2 and 3 events per type and waveform measurement and condition. N=6 participants or instances of testing. B. Percent of waveforms detected across single or multiple channels during saline recordings.
Supplementary Fig. 13. Event-triggered local field potential (LFP) and spectral changes. A. Average power spectra aligned to Type 1 (left), Type 2 (middle), and Type 3 (right) events normalized to the entire recording per frequency band (N=1). B. Average normalized power along a sliding moving window (moved every 10 ms, normalized to the entire recording per frequency band) shown as a red line aligned to the onset of the...
detected Type 1 (top), Type 2 (middle) and Type 3 (bottom) events, ± 8 seconds. Grey lines are individual recordings, N=29 participants. Shaded area indicates s.e.m. Green dots indicate time steps when the power was significantly different to baseline, which is between 1 and 3 seconds before the event, p<0.001, Wilcoxon rank sum test, false discovery rate controlled for multiple comparisons. C. Average power along a sliding moving window (moved every 10 ms, normalized to the entire recording per frequency band) shown as a blue line aligned to the onset of the detected Type 1 (top), Type 2 (middle) and Type 3 (bottom) events, ± 8 seconds. Shaded area indicates s.e.m. Grey lines are individual recordings, N=29 participants.
Supplementary Fig. 14. Event-triggered time-locked power dynamics, saline. A. Average normalized power along a sliding moving window (moved every 10 ms, normalized to the entire recording per frequency band) shown as a red line aligned to the onset of the detected Type 2 (middle) and Type 3 (bottom) events, ± 8 seconds. Grey lines are individual recordings, N=4 mice, N=1 NHP. Shaded area indicates s.e.m. B. Average power along a sliding moving window (moved every 10 ms, normalized to the entire recording per frequency band) shown as a blue line aligned to the onset of the detected Type 2 (middle) and Type 3 (bottom) events, ± 8 seconds. Shaded area indicates s.e.m. Grey lines are individual recordings.
Supplementary Fig. 15. Power in different frequency bands surrounding Type 2 and 3 events. A. Example traces with an event detected aligned to zero. The windows of time for analysis ± 10 sec around the event. Then, the steps involved the following: a) calculating spectrograms 10 sec before and after the Type 2/3 events (not including+/-
200 ms around each event). b) performing the same calculation but, instead of centering it around the Type 2/3 events, add or subtract a time in the range of +/- 10 seconds (jittered time-locked power calculation). B. The power curves across frequencies before and after the events and in the time-jittered power calculations for the Type 2 and Type 3 events. C. Difference in the spectrograms across frequencies before and after the events for the time-locked spectrograms versus the time-jittered power calculations for the Type 2 and Type 3 events on the per-channel basis, averaged across participants. D. Plotting significant values if the time-jittered versus time-locked value differences are significantly different from zero across the data set. Wilcoxon rank-sum test for significance.
Supplementary Fig. 16. Testing phase-locking per Type 2 and 3 event per frequency band. A. To calculate the phase relationships per frequency band, we filtered the signal to each frequency band with eegfilt (EEGLab package; (37)) and then calculated phase per frequency band (Hilbert transform) and averaged those phase values using the CircStat toolbox (Berens, 2009). As we were performing these calculations on a per-channel and then per-case basis, we had to average phase values when the number of events per recording was greater than 5 as phase is highly susceptible to low event counts. This resulted in calculated average phase angles and lengths of the vectors per case after averaging these values across channels for each of 6 frequency bands (A). B. We also calculated the average phase angles for each of 6 frequency bands for the Type 2 and 3 events.
Supplementary Fig. 17. Inter-Event-Interval (IEI) and autocorrelation dynamics for the Type 2 and Type 3 events. A. Average per-case Inter-event Interval histograms per channel for the Type 2 (top) and Type 3 (bottom) events. Each line is a different case. The majority of the cases do not have IEI values less than 2 seconds while a few cases demonstrate IEI events below than 2 sec. B. Cross-correlation performed by cross-correlating single events with all the other events per channel per Type 2 (left) and Type 3 (right) events at two different time scales from -0.1 to 0.1 sec (top) and from -60 to 60 sec. Each color line is a different case. While some cases have some type of regularity in the cross-correlation, there was not any obvious rhythmic consistency across cases or even within a case.
Supplementary Fig. 18. NHP and mouse recordings. A. Example recording (electrodes which passed criteria, Ai) from mouse V1 (in a ketamine-anesthetized mouse) showing activity changes in time (Aii) and a proportion of electrodes and their activity mapped to the two-column electrode array (Aiii). B. Recording from the same mouse after euthanazia in time (Bi-ii) and mapped to the circular grid (Biii). The color coding of the
waveforms match the color coding of the channels with magenta, yellow, green, and cyan color coding indicating the four separate 32 channel amplifier banks used in the recording. E. Example recording from a square grid (electrodes which passed criteria, Ci) from mouse barrel cortex (in an anesthetized mouse) showing activity changes in time (Cii) and a proportion of electrodes and their activity mapped to the two-column electrode array (Ciii). F. Example recording from a 2-column grid (electrodes which passed criteria, Fi) from NHP visual cortex (in an anesthetized NHP) showing activity changes in time (Fii) and a proportion of electrodes and their activity mapped to the two-column electrode array (Fiii). G. Example recordings of events at different time points (for the NHP) showing only a subset of electrode channels, with repeated events shown through time.

Supplementary Fig. 19. NHP and mouse recordings, waveform characteristics.
Average τrise time (A), τfall time (B), inter-event interval (C), and Absolute amplitude (D) per waveform type across Type 2 and Type 3 events across NHP and mouse recordings. p-values are the results of Wilcoxon rank-sum tests comparing Type 2 and 3 events per type and waveform measurement. N=4 mice, N=1 NHP.
Supplementary Fig. 20. Event-triggered time-locked power dynamics for Type 2 and 3 events across NHP and mouse recordings. A. Average normalized power along a sliding moving window (moved every 10 ms, normalized to the entire recording per frequency band) shown as a red line aligned to the onset of the detected Type 1 (top), Type 2 (middle) and Type 3 (bottom) events, ± 8 seconds. Grey lines are individual recordings, N=4 mice, N=1 NHP. Shaded area indicates s.e.m. B. Average power along a sliding moving window (moved every 10 ms, normalized to the entire recording per frequency band) shown as a blue line aligned to the onset of the detected Type 1 (top), Type 2 (middle) and Type 3 (bottom) events, ± 8 seconds. Shaded area indicates s.e.m. Grey lines are individual recordings, N=4 mice, N=1 NHP.
Supplementary Fig. 2.1. Waveform measurements during the application of pro-convulsant medication, cold saline, auditory stimulation and electrical stimulation. 

A-C. Average $\tau_{\text{rise time}}$ (i), $\tau_{\text{fall time}}$ (ii), inter-event interval (iii), and Absolute amplitude (iv) per waveform type across participants for the different physiological treatments. p-values are the results of Wilcoxon rank-sum tests comparing baseline events to the minutes after the manipulation (orange brackets in Fig. 4C (for A), 5C (for B), and 6C (for C)) per type and waveform measurement. N-values indicated in A-C.
Supplementary Fig. 22. Spike-triggered voltage averages around Type 1 events. A. Spike-triggered voltage averages of the low frequency (LFP) data per recording across multiple events. Each line and color indicate a different recording across N=29 participants. B. Averaged normalized (to baseline) LFP voltage deflection around Type 1 events, N=29.